Agonistic interactions between resident and immigrant sympatric water shrews: *Neomys fodiens* and *N. anomalus*
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Behavioral mechanisms which control resident-immigrant relations in wild populations of *Neomys fodiens* (Pennant, 1771) and *N. anomalus* Cabrera, 1907 were studied by quantitative analysis of agonistic interactions between animals inhabiting the enclosure for at least 1 week (‘resident’) and animals newly introduced (‘immigrants’). Tested animals (66 *N. fodiens* and 24 *N. anomalus*) were observed in one- and two-species groups or pairs in enclosures of 275 × 135 or 135 × 135 cm built in a laboratory room. During 214 hours of direct observation, social behaviour and patterns of hiding place usage were registered. Individual occupation of the nest boxes, a great number of conflicts among *fodiens* ‘residents’, and a large number of conflicts between *fodiens* ‘immigrants’ and ‘residents’ suggest that strong territorial competition in breeding females and strong competition for females in adult males occur between residents and immigrants of the wild *N. fodiens*-populations. Group occupation of the nest boxes, a very low degree of aggressiveness among *anomalus* ‘residents’ and the tolerant interactions between *anomalus* ‘immigrants’ and ‘residents’ suggest that, in wild populations, *N. anomalus* are gregarious and inhabit given areas in groups. The low number of interspecific conflicts between ‘residents’ suggests that in the two-species stabilized groups, behavioral mechanisms exist which allow *N. anomalus* to avoid conflicts with the more aggressive *N. fodiens*. Since the number of conflicts between *fodiens* ‘resident’ and *anomalus* ‘immigrant’ is much greater than between ‘resident’ of the two species, it seems that these mechanisms are active learning rather than simple habituation. The decrease in the number of interspecific conflicts within 1-3 days suggests high efficiency of these mechanisms.
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Introduction

As with many small mammals, there are individuals within shrew populations which either do not have, or abandon, their regular home ranges, and move around
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or migrate (Pat 1945, Shillito 1963a, b, Hawes 1977, Churchfield 1984, 1990, Tegeleström and Hansson 1987, Shchapanov and Oleinichenko 1995b). Mammal dispersal is driven by intrinsic (e.g. reproduction strategy) and extrinsic (e.g. social interactions and habitat influences) factors (Stenseth and Lidicker 1992), as well as by the innate inclination to migrate by so-called 'presaturation dispersers' (Howard 1960, Lidicker 1962, 1970) quoted in Krohne and Burgin 1987. Migrants inevitably enter areas occupied by conspecifics or individuals of other closely related species.

In small mammal communities, there is strong competition for habitat resources between species which are closely related. Territorial interactions between residents and immigrants of these species are significant because they have a direct influence on the spatial isolation of particular species, via this isolation diminishes the interspecific competition. In small rodents, interspecific reactions between hosts of a given territory and intruders are related to many behavioural and physiological characteristics of the animals, such as: belonging to a dominating or submissive species (Nevak and Getz 1969, Shilov 1977), communication system (Dempster et al. 1992), patterns of parental behaviour (Getz et al. 1992), if given species are sympatric vs allopatric, and also if they are ecological 'specialists' vs 'generalists' (Dempster and Ferrin 1990); as well as prior knowledge about the area where interspecific encounters occur (Volff et al. 1983, Smirin and Shilov 1989), the size of animals (Blauwein and Risser 1976, Frye 1983, Fox and Kirkland 1992), their gender, age and reproductive status (Dempster and Ferrin 1990, Dempster et al. 1992). Our knowledge of these problems in shrews is very limited.

Behavioural interactions between residents and immigrants in shrews have been investigated mainly in laboratory experiments, and very few quantitative studies have been undertaken. The character of these behavioural interactions is diverse. In shrews, which display some territorial behaviour (Sorex araneus, Neomys fodiens, Blarina brevicauda), it has been found that residents usually attack conspecific immigrants and drive them out of their territory (Crowcroft 1957, Bunn 1966, Croin Michielsen 1991). Moreover, residents of Blarina brevi- cauda kill intruders of the same species (Martin 1981b). However, in other studies (Croin Michielsen 1966, Moraleva 1988) it was found that the resident or adult shrew did not always win. For example, juveniles (sexually immature animals in their first calendar year) of S. araneus dominate adults (sexually mature, overwintered animals) when tested in pairs in a neutral area under laboratory conditions. In the wild they displace the older adults, especially males, to poorer places and occupy their home ranges (Moraleva 1988). In conclusion, Moraleva presents the hypothesis that high mortality of overwintered shrew S. araneus results, at least partly, from the inability to maintain their own territory in competition with the young dispersing animals. Thus, it is obvious that the physiological condition of a shrew competitor for territory is important in the struggle between a territory host and an intruder.
Two species of European water shrew: *Neomys fodiens* (Pennant, 1771) and *N. anomalus* Cabrera, 1907 inhabiting the Białowieża Primeval Forest are an excellent model for the study of competitive territorial interactions between sympatric and syntopic species. The intraspecific social structures of these species differ significantly (Kruszynska and Pucek 1989, Kruszynska and Rychkik 1993). In mixed groups of *N. fodiens* and *N. anomalus*, inhabiting enclosures, the numbers of intra- and interspecific conflicts decrease with time (Kruszynska and Rychkik 1993). Apart from mutual habituation to their co-habitation, there are undoubtedly other behavioural mechanisms which allow *N. anomalus* to avoid the aggression of *N. fodiens*. Therefore, it is interesting to find out, for example, how much time a *N. anomalus*-individual, introduced to a mixed group, needs to learn to avoid the aggression of *N. fodiens*. Do *N. anomalus* form closed or open social groups? Can new individuals enter *N. anomalus*-groups without difficulty? Answers to these questions may help to explain the natural mechanisms of water shrew migration, patterns of space utilization and niche partitioning between these two species.

The purpose of this study was to describe agonistic interactions between water shrews of two species co-inhabiting the enclosure for at least 1 week (‘residents’) and animals newly introduced (‘immigrants’) with regard to their species, weight, sex, reproductive status and age. In this way, we hope to generate new ideas about behavioral mechanisms which control resident-immigrant relations in wild populations of water shrews.

**Subject and methods**

Forty-six *Neomys fodiens* (17 adult and 13 juvenile males, 3 ad- and 2 yr females) and twenty-four *N. anomalus* (9 ad and 7 yr males, 3 ad and 5 yr females) captured in the late summer (Aug.–Sept.) of 1988, early summer (June–July) of 1989 and 1991, and spring (May–June) of 1993 in the Białowieża Primeval Forest were used. All animals were anesthetized at least 2-week acclimatization in individual cage (38 × 50 × 16 cm). They were then weighed and marked by fur-clipping and/or dermoleveling with human hair-dye (‘Kloran Color’, product of L’Oreal, Paris, France). The marking lasted up to 2 months, and allowed the animals to be distinguished in red light.

The marked animals were introduced to large (273 × 135 and 105 × 145 cm) or small (135 × 135 cm) enclosures built in a laboratory room located in a basement. The small enclosures were formed by partitioning the large ones into halves. The floor was covered with a 5-cm layer of sand. A small amount of moss for nest-building was put on the sand and changed every 2–3 weeks. Upright earthworms flower-pots were provided as nest boxes (exceeding in number the number of animals kept, along with feeding trays, and 1 or 2 water basins (80 × 25 × 15 cm; Fig. 1) within the enclosure. Meat, milk and water were given ad libitum, according to Michalski’s standard (1987), and the shrews could also prey on live frogs and fish which were put into the enclosures or water basins from time to time.

The sex and age of animals were determined prior to experiments according to external features and proved after experiments by dissection. Sexually active and all overwintered shrews were designated as adults. Immature and sexually inactive shrews in their first calendar year were designated as juveniles.
Procedure
Experıment 1: Introductory of a single ‘immune’ groups to mixed ‘resident’ groups of N. fodiens and N. anomalus

Three mixed species groups of ‘residents’ were formed as well as ‘immigrants’ were chosen from among available animals aclimatised in individual cages (Table 1). ‘Residents’ were introduced to the larger enclosures simultaneously. At least 2 weeks after the creature of a mixed group of ‘residents’ (i.e. after the stabilisation of social relations between ‘residents’), ‘immigrants’ were introduced successively, one at a time. The ‘immigrant’ was placed in the middle of the enclosure during evening.
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**Fig. 1.** Design of the enclosure. (In 1991 the enclosure was of size 155 × 145 cm, and was provided with 5 feeding trays, 10 nest boxes and 1 water basin).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of experiment</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>‘Residents’ composition</th>
<th>‘Immigrants’ noted individually</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>6F: 3 Jr males, 1 ad + 2 Jr females, 2 Jr males</td>
<td>1 Jr male, 1 Jr females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>5F: 3 ad males, 2 Jr females, 3 Jr males</td>
<td>2 Jr males, 2 Jr females, 1 Jr female</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>4F: 2 ad males, 2 Jr females, 1 ad female</td>
<td>2 Jr males, 3 Jr females, 1 Jr + 1 Jr females</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>5F: 3 ad + 1 Jr males, 1 Jr female</td>
<td>4 Jr males, 2 Jr females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>20F + 16A</td>
<td>15 Jr males, 10 Jr females</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The composition of ‘resident’ groups and the list of ‘immigrants’ used in experiments. In Exp. 1 ‘residents’ formed the mixed groups in large enclosures, in Exp. 2 ‘residents’ were kept individually in small enclosures. * = the same animals were used as ‘residents’ and ‘immigrants’ in different trials.
hours (i.e. during the high activity period of the animals), and left inside for 3 days. During this time social interactions between an 'immigrant' and each 'resident' as well as interactions among all 'residents' were simultaneously observed for a total of 6 hr (2 hr in each of 3 successive evenings). On the 4th day the 'immigrant' was removed. Four N. fedtschenko's and 3 N. annulatus - 'immigrants' replaced the 'residents' and stayed in the enclosure for a longer time as new 'residents'. In total, 13 N. fedtschenko's-immigrants' and 10 N. annulatus-immigrants' were tested in Exp. 1, and total observation time was 150 hr.

In Exp. 1 we (1) compared intra- and interspecific aggressiveness between 'residents' and 'immigrants' of both species with aggressiveness among 'residents' (2) examined if 'immigrants' learned to avoid aggression of 'residents' and how much time it took, (3) examined if N. annulatus formed closed or open social groups.

Experiment 2: Introduction of a single N. fedtschenko 'immigrant' to a single N. fedtschenko 'resident'

In 1995, single N. fedtschenko 'residents' of different sex and age were placed in small enclosures for at least 1 week. After this time, 'immigrants' were introduced in the same way as in Exp. 1. Social interactions between 'resident' and 'immigrant' were always observed for 4 hr (2 hr on each of 2 successive evenings), and on the 3rd day the 'immigrant' was removed. Five animals were used both as 'immigrants' and 'residents', while 6 animals were used only as 'immigrants'. In total, 16 tests (4 for each combination of age of a 'resident' and an 'immigrant') were performed. The total observation time was 64 hr.

In Exp. 2 we examined (1) if N. fedtschenko resting in the enclosure alone were more territorial and aggressive than N. fedtschenko 'residents' which shared the enclosure with other conspecifics (Exp. 1), (2) if juvenile N. fedtschenko 'immigrants' were able to dominate adult N. fedtschenko 'residents'. Moreover, in Exp. 2 we followed the experimental design of Marleva's (1989) study making our results comparable to hers.

During 2-hr observation sessions of Exp 1 and 2, social behaviours displayed by all water shrews present in the enclosure were simultaneously recorded manually in the observation protocols. Most animal interactions could be recorded, because usually there were only 1--3 active shrews. Categories of antagonistic behaviour (threatening postures and/or vocalization, pouncing, chasing, and fighting) were distinguished according to Olsen (1969) and Mishalak (1988), and categories of neutrally investigative behaviour according to Shipley et al. (1997). Areas (i.e. in or near the nest box, near the feeding tray, and in the open area of the enclosure) in which these interactions occurred were also noted.

When 2 or more conflicts with growing intensity of aggressiveness (e.g. threatening, pouncing, and fighting) followed one by one very quickly (within 1--2 sec), it was interpreted as one conflict of the most aggressive character (i.e. fighting). When, during fighting activity, there was a break of over 10 sec, it was interpreted as 2 separate fighting acts.

On successive days observation sessions were performed during the high activity periods of the animals (i.e. between 18:00 and 06:00). Natural light during the day and artificial red light during the night were used for observations. The total duration of observations was 214 hr.

The distribution of water shrews in nest boxes was recorded both during observation sessions and by daily checks of their occupation of all the nest boxes during morning hours (i.e. in a low activity period).

Conflict initiating

We assumed that an animal which initiated conflict was:

1. (1) in pouncing - an animal which pounced on the other animal,

- an animal which attacked first, when it won the fight,
- an individual was given to both animals, when the attacked animal won the fight or if 2 individuals attacked each other simultaneously.
(3) in chasing – an animal which chased the other animal, 
(4) in threatening posture and defensive vocalization – an animal which threatened and/or emitted peeps towards approaching animal (the most common situation), – an animal which threatened the other animal when approaching it (rarely), – when 2 individuals begin to threaten each other simultaneously, they were attributed one initiation each (occasional occurrence).

(5) in defensive vocalization into/near nest box – an animal which was inside its nest box or which was running out and emitted peeps towards an approaching intruder.

Conflicts inside nest boxes

Direct observation of conflicts which occurred inside the earthworm nest boxes was impossible. We assumed 4 situations: (1) initiation of defensive vocalization in nest box by its occupant – when an occupant shrew emitted peeps towards an approaching intruder, (2) initiation of 1 fight by an occupant and 1 fight by an intruder – when an intruder entered nest box and some evidence of fighting (aggressive vocalization, scratching the walls of nest box, shakes of the whole nest box), were noticeable, after that, the intruder was displaced and the occupant stayed in the nest box, (3) initiation of 2 fights by an intruder – when an intruder entered and evidence of fighting (as above) were noticeable, after which, the occupant was displaced, (4) no conflict initiated – when an intruder entered and there was no evidence of fighting.

Most of these fighting activities could be indeed identified by us because fights usually began (and often finished) at the entrance to the nest box, when the intruder was only partly inside (compare Kruhinska and Rydlík 1999, pages 15-16).

Data organization and analysis

The number of occurrences of conflicts of particular categories between all possible pairs of shrews present in the enclosure were listed and summed for each test (i.e. for 2 hr × 3 successive evenings in Exp. 1, and 2 hr × 2 evenings in Exp. 2). These sums were the basic data (shown in figures as n) to count how many conflicts were initiated by each animal during 1 hr. Then, data were grouped according to species, 'resident'-'immigrant' status, sex and age classes and compared statistically. We assumed that numbers of conflicts initiated by animals simultaneously present in the enclosure are independent. Because of low number, conflicts of all categories were usually combined in figures. For statistical analysis ANOVA, Spearman correlation coefficient, and Wilcoxon tests were used (Statsoft Ver. 2.0 – computer program).

Results

In mixed groups (Exp. 1) there were many more intraspecific conflicts between 'residents' and 'immigrants' in N. fodiens than in N. anomalus (0.0001 < p < 0.001, ANOVA, Fig. 2). In all cases, the number of conflicts, both intra- and interspecific among 'residents' were lower than between 'residents' and 'immigrants'. These differences were significant in 3 comparisons: for FI→FR/FR→FR p < 0.0001, for AI→FR/AR→FR p < 0.05, and for FR→AI/FR→AR p < 0.0001, ANOVA (Fig. 2). The number of FR→AI-conflicts was the highest for all the conflicts observed in mixed groups. At the same time, there was also a greater individual variation in the 'residents'-'immigrants' interactions in N. fodiens than in N. anomalus. In N. fodiens (n = 15), total number of intraspecific conflicts initiated by 'immigrants'
during 6 hr was on average 13.5 (SD = 12.1, range 0–51), and that of initiated by ‘residents’ with ‘immigrants’ was 10.0 (SD = 5.8, range 0–19), whereas in N. anomalous (n = 7), total number of conflicts initiated by ‘immigrants’ was 2.7 (SD = 3.0, range 0–8), and that of initiated by ‘residents’ with ‘immigrants’ was 1.9 (SD = 2.6, range 0–7).

The number of conflicts between ‘residents’ and ‘immigrants’ was the highest on the 1st day after introduction and quickly decreased, so, on the 3rd day it was always lower than on the 1st day (Fig. 3), except for AR→AI-conflicts. The number of intraspecific conflicts initiated significantly not on the weight of the shrew which initiated a conflict (for F→F: r_s = 0.124, df = 537, ns; for A→A: r_s = −0.027, df = 433, ns) nor on the weight of the shrew which was attacked (for F→F: r_s = −0.003, df = 537, ns; for A→A: r_s = −0.019, df = 433, ns; Spearman correlation coefficient). The level of aggressiveness depended on age and, to lesser extent, on the animals’ gender, what is shown below.

**Intraspecific relations in N. fodiens**

During the first hours after introduction to mixed groups (Exp. 1) ‘immigrants’ displayed exploratory activity which disturbed the stable social behaviour of group members. This resulted in the number of conflicts between ‘residents’ and ‘immigrants’ (FR→FI and FI→FR) being significantly higher than that among ‘residents’ (FR→FR) (for FI→FR/FR→FR p < 0.001, ANOVA, Fig. 2). However, the increased number of conflicts initiated by ‘immigrants’ was observed only on the 1st day after introduction, and on the 3rd day it was...
significantly lower ($p < 0.001$), Wilcoxon test; Fig. 3). Intraspecific conflicts in *N. fo- diens* were as frequent in the open enclosure as near/in nest boxes. Only 'immigrants' initiated more conflicts near/in nest boxes than in the open enclosure, but this difference was not significant (Wilcoxon test; Fig. 4).

![Fig. 4. Aggressiveness of water shrews near/nest boxes (dark bars) in comparison to that in the open enclosure (light bars), observed in the mixed groups (Exp. 1). Number of 6-hr observations at a particular type of conflicts (a) and statistical significance ($p$) are shown. Denotations as in Fig. 2.](image)

![Fig. 5. Aggressiveness between 'resident' and 'immigrant' of *Neomys fodiens* in regard to their sex and age, observed in the mixed groups (Exp. 1). Denotations as in Fig. 2.](image)
Among male-‘residents’, (1) ‘Resident’ adult males attacked adult ‘immigrants’ significantly more frequently than young ‘immigrants’ (*p* < 0.003, ANOVA; Fig. 5). (2) The highest degree of intraspecific aggressiveness (but, due to too few observations, this is not confirmed statistically) was displayed by ‘resident’ adult males towards ‘immigrant’ adult males (1.2 conflicts/individual/hr).

Among male-‘immigrants’, which also displayed high aggressiveness towards ‘residents’, the following relations were ascertained: (1) The number of attacks of ‘immigrant’ adult males on ‘residents’ (regardless of sex and age of ‘residents’) was significantly higher (*p* < 0.05, ANOVA) than the number of attacks of ‘immigrant’ young males on ‘residents’. (2) The number of conflicts initiated by ‘immigrant’ adult males with young ‘residents’ was significantly higher than those initiated by ‘resident’ adult males with young ‘immigrants’ (*p* < 0.005, ANOVA; Fig. 5). (3) Except for conflicts between adult males, there were more Fi→Fr-conflicts than Fr→Fi-ones (Fig. 5).

The ‘resident’ adult female, in contrast to ‘resident’ adult males, did not attack ‘immigrants’ at all in 3 observations (Fig. 5). Interactions between ‘resident’ males and ‘immigrant’ females depended on age and the reproductive activity of females, and could vary from strong aggression to courtship behaviour.

For example, the introduction of the F1S adult female (which probably was in oestrus at the time) to the 1969 mixed group elicited strong aggression by the one ‘resident’ adult male, which resulted in frequent and prolonged conflict between these 2 species near the nest boxes. On the other hand, after the introduction of the F1T adult female (which probably was in oestrus), two ‘resident’ males displayed threatening gestures, then courtship behaviour. The F1T female having shown a preference for one of the males permitted only this male to remain in her nest box. But after 3 days the F1T female again turned the ‘resident’ male out of her nest box and attacked him violently. The introduction of the F1S young female elicited in this ‘resident’ male only weak investigative reactions and threats in response to her agonistic behaviour.

Thus, in the mixed groups, the highest aggressiveness was displayed between adult males, both ‘residents’ and ‘immigrants’. In comparison with intraspecific interactions between *N. poliensis-‘immigrants’* and ‘residents’ of the mixed groups (Exp. 1), interactions between ‘immigrants’ and single ‘residents’ (Exp. 2) were three times more aggressive (Fig. 6). Since sample numbers were small, results according to the age of animals which initiated conflict were combined. The following statistically significant differences can be seen (Wilcoxon test; Fig. 6): (1) The total (offensive + defensive) number of conflicts initiated by young ‘immigrants’ was lower than the number of all other types of conflicts (*p* < 0.05). (2) Adult ‘residents’ and ‘immigrants’ initiated significantly more (*p* < 0.05) attacks than defences, whereas in young shrews these differences were not statistically significant. (3) The share of defences in all the conflicts was significantly higher (*p* < 0.05) in Fi→Fi than in Fi→Fr.

Moreover, in the tests where ‘residents’ and ‘immigrants’ differed in age, the young ‘residents’ mostly defended themselves against the adult ‘immigrants’, but did not attack them (1.2 ± 0.9 defensive vs 0.1 ± 0.2 offensive conflicts), whereas the total number of conflicts initiated by young ‘immigrants’ with adult ‘residents’
was the lowest observed (0.8 ± 0.3). Yet, because of low sample numbers (n = 4), these relations can not be verified statistically.

**Intraspecific relations in N. anomalous**

Reactions of *N. anomalous*-‘residents’ to ‘immigrants’ in the mixed groups of the two species (Exp. 1) were very tolerant. The number of intraspecific conflicts between ‘immigrants’ and ‘resident’ was low and, should the sex and age of the animals not be considered, it did not exceed significantly the number of conflicts among ‘resident’ (Fig. 2). However, ‘immigrant’ males (ad and ju) and ‘immigrant’ adult females attacked ‘resident’ significantly more frequently than they were attacked by ‘resident’ (0.05 < p < 0.0001, ANOVA; Fig. 7). The number of conflicts initiated by adult ‘immigrant’ was also significantly higher than the number of conflicts among ‘resident’ (0.004 < p < 0.0001, ANOVA). On the other hand, the number of conflicts initiated by ‘immigrants’ was higher only on the 1st day after introduction, and on the 3rd day it decreased to the level observed in AR→AI (Fig. 3). However, this change was not statistically significant (Wilcoxon test). Also, ‘immigrants’ initiated more conflicts near/ in nest boxes than in the open enclosure (p < 0.04, Wilcoxon test; Fig. 4, AI→AR). By contrast, conflicts among ‘resident’ in open enclosure exceeded the number of conflicts near/in nest boxes (p < 0.03, Wilcoxon test); Fig. 5).
Willcoxon test; Fig. 4, AR→AR). This resulted in a situation where each *N. anomalus*-‘immigrant’ occupied a nest box singly during the first 24 hours after introduction to the enclosure, and as late as on the 2nd day it joined *N. anomalus*-‘residents’ in their common nest box.

**Interspecific relations**

The highest number of conflicts observed in the mixed groups (Exp. 1) was that of FR→AI (Figs 7 and 8a). Especially many of these conflicts were initiated by the *N. fodiens*-‘resident’ adult female (Fig. 8a), i.e. this ‘resident’ which did not attack *N. fodiens*-‘immigrants’ at all (compare Fig. 5). *N. fodiens*-‘resident’ young females were also relatively very aggressive towards *N. anomalus*-‘immigrants’ (Fig. 8a).

There were more FR→AI-conflicts than FR→AR-conflicts (*p* < 0.0001, ANOVA; Fig. 2), what is also true in all combinations of sex and age classes (Fig. 8a). However, the number of FR→AI-conflicts decreased quickly, and on the 3rd day it was significantly lower than on the 1st day (*p* < 0.001, Wilcoxon test; Fig. 3), and comparable to the number of conflicts observed among ‘residents’ (FR→AR). Similarly, the number of AI→FR-conflicts was significantly higher than AR→FE-conflicts (*p* < 0.05, ANOVA; Fig. 2). This resulted from conflicts initiated

Fig. 8. Interspecific aggressiveness between ‘residents’ and ‘immigrants’ compared to that among ‘residents’, in regard to their sex and age, observed in the mixed groups (Exp. 1). (a) Conflicts initiated by *Neomya fodiens*. (b) Conflicts initiated by *N. anomalus*. Denotations as in Fig. 2.
Table 2. Mean (n = 8) number of agonistic reactions (large font) between selected *N. anomalus-*'immigrants' (AI) and *N. fodiens-*'residents' (FR) initiated by 1 individual during 1 hr, which were observed during 6 hr of observation in the mixed groups (Exp. 1), as well as levels of statistical significance (Wilcoxon test) of differences indicated (small font).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinds of conflicts</th>
<th>Type of conflict</th>
<th>AI→FR</th>
<th>FR→AI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pouncing, fightings, chasings</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threatening postures</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defensive vocalization</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

by adult *N. anomalus-*'immigrants' (Fig. 8b). The numbers of AI→FR-conflicts initiated by adult males and females were significantly higher (p < 0.0001, ANOVA) than that of AR→FR-conflicts, whereas the numbers of conflicts initiated by young *N. anomalus-*'immigrants' were not. However, after an analysis of these conflicts' features, it appears (Table 2) that interspecific conflicts initiated by *N. fodiens-*'residents' were mostly offensive (pouncing, fightings, chasings), whereas these initiated by *N. anomalus-*'immigrants' were defensive (threatening postures and vocalization). *N. fodiens-*'residents' nearly never displayed the defensive vocalization towards *N. anomalus-*'immigrants', and *N. anomalus-*'immigrants' never attacked *N. fodiens-*'residents'. These differences are significant (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon test). In addition, although conflicts between *N. anomalus-*'residents' and *N. fodiens-*'residents' occurred much more frequently near in nest boxes than in the open enclosure (p < 0.0001, Wilcoxon test; Fig. 4), *N. anomalus-*'immigrants' were attacked by *N. fodiens-*'residents' to an equal degree near in nest boxes and in the open enclosure.

Thus, reactions of *N. fodiens* to a strange *N. anomalus* were unequivocally antagonistic and during the first few hours they could assume the forms of drastic persecution.

**Discussion**

**Intraspecific relations between immigrants and residents**

In this paper it has been shown that there is a big difference between *N. fodiens* and *N. anomalus* as to the intraspecific agonistic relations between residents and immigrants. The number of conflicts in *N. fodiens* is far larger than that in *N. anomalus*. The details of these relations in the observed species will be considered below.
The level of intraspecific aggressiveness was mostly determined by whether a 'resident' occupied the enclosure alone or with some other 'residents'. *N. fodiens* residing singly attacked 'immigrants' of their own species (defending probably the whole territory of the enclosure) more freely than 'residents' being group members. The latter seemingly defended only their nest boxes and the nearest vicinity. This resembles the situation observed by Krushinska and Pucek (1989) who tested groups of 4 individuals of *N. fodiens* in a system of 4 combined cages. At that time each *N. fodiens* defended not only its own nest box, but the whole cage as well. Sorensen (1962), who kept up to 7 *Sorex palustris* simultaneously in an enclosure 150 × 300 cm, observed that these shrews defended merely their own nest boxes or food stores. Furthermore, the author concluded that *S. palustris* were not territorial at all. On the other hand, the defence of the whole enclosure observed by us (Exp. 2) confirms the territoriality of *N. fodiens* in wild populations, demonstrated by Cantoni (1995), even more than the animals' behaviour in mixed groups (Exp. 1, and Krushinska and Rychlik 1993).

The number of conflicts between 'immigrants' and 'residents' is considerably greater on the day of introduction than 2 days later, which proves that the processes of establishing the rank of a newcomer among the 'residents' and a potentially new division of the enclosure between the inhabitants are very quick. The high rate of these changes is also underlined by Crön Michelsen (1991) in her experiments on *S. araneus* in the wild. During experimental colonization of islands *S. araneus* set their territories and spare individuals left the islands within 48 hr. A year later some newly introduced shrews were chased away from the islands by residents within 24 hr. According to Bunn (1966), the intraspecific aggressiveness of *N. fodiens* residents against an intruder decreased with time, and both water shrews could live together in one cage preserving distance between each other due to acoustic communication.

Further differentiation of reactions of 'residents' towards 'immigrants' depended on the sex, age, physiological condition and individual behavioural characteristics of particular individuals. No dependence on the animals' weight was noted. Likewise, Köhler (1986) did not find any relationship between the weight of *N. fodiens* individuals and their intraspecific aggressiveness, and Sorensen (1962) found no relationship between the size of *S. palustris* and the level of their intraspecific aggressiveness. On the grounds of both our experiments presented above we can determine the following sequence from the most to the least aggressive *N. fodiens* : adult males > non-breeding/young females > young males. In two-species mixed groups, adult 'resident' males of *N. fodiens* attacked adult (especially male) 'immigrants' more frequently than juvenile 'immigrants'. The antagonism of young 'residents' in regard to 'immigrants' was visibly lesser, and of about the same intensity as antagonism displayed to other conspecific 'residents'. Male 'residents' displayed either aggression or sexual interest towards adult
female 'immigrants', which probably depended on the current phase of the female's oestrus cycle. Therefore, it may be believed that a high level of agonistic reactions in adult males was caused both by their territoriality and competitiveness for females.

Probably, adult breeding females can be even more aggressive than adult males as observations on one parous female of *N. foliens* suggest (Krushinska and Rychlik 1994). Intraspecific aggressiveness of this female towards introduced males was several times higher than the number of conflicts between male *N. foliens*-'residents' and '-'immigrants' in the present study. In *S. araneus*, breeding females seem to dominate adult males and juveniles (Moraleva 1989). The high aggressiveness of breeding females was observed in *N. foliens* (Michalak 1983) and some *Soriceidae* (e.g. in *B. brevicauda* – Platt 1976, *C. flavescens* – Baxter and Meester 1962, *S. isodon* – Skarén 1982, *S. araneus* – Churchfield 1960), as well as in many other mammals, and it is considered as an adaptation against intraspecific infanticide.

Literature provides evidence that shrews living as solitary individuals (*Blarinera brevicauda* – Platt 1976, Martin 1981a, b, *Crocidura flavescens* – Baxter and Meester 1982, *N. foliens* – Bunn 1966, Cantoni 1993, *S. araneus* – Crowcroft 1957, Bunn 1966, Croin Michielsen 1966, 1991, *S. coronatus* – Cantoni 1993, *S. isodon* – Skarén 1982) fiercely defend their territories against intruders, and their intraspecific aggression usually intensifies in autumn and winter, that is with a drop in food abundance. It was also observed that in *S. araneus* and *N. foliens* (Crowcroft 1957, Bunn 1966) individuals residing in cages solitarily usually attacked immigrants first and very violently, that *S. araneus* residents almost always dominated (Croin Michielsen 1991), that in *B. brevicauda* males dominated over non-breeding females (Martin 1981a), and that *B. brevicauda* residents, especially males, usually killed introduced alien individuals of the same species (Platt 1976, Martin 1981b). *S. palustris* (Sorensen 1962) and *Suncus murinus* (Kawano 1992) are also very aggressive intraspecifically, and their conflicts are often fatal for one of the fighting animals (Sorensen 1962, Tsuji and Naruse 1985 quoted in Kawano 1992). However, there are also data about ambiguous relations between immigrants and residents in e.g. *B. brevicauda*, kept in one cage in groups (Rood 1958, Platt 1976), which according to Rood (1958) are "playful" species, living peacefully together and in physical contact when sleeping. There were no serious conflicts between residents and female immigrants, and after a few days the newcomers could sleep in one nest box with the residents. However, some of the adult males were very aggressive and it happened that they killed both a female and a male when those were placed in a cage as a pair (Rood 1958). In Martin's examinations (1981b) a young male immigrant of *B. brevicauda* killed an adult female resident (his mother) on the 10th day after introduction.

The observed lack of aggression by an adult *N. foliens* female residing in a group with regard to conspecific immigrants is difficult to explain. The small number of adult females (only 3 out of 46) was investigated in this study. They were very rarely trapped what can suggest that they do not migrate and are strictly
territorial, at least during breeding season. More adult females should be investigat ed to understand their social behaviour.

In our experiments we also found significant differences in the behaviour of 'immigrants' with regard to conspecific 'residents'. Again adult 'immigrant' males were more aggressive, and they could either dominate 'residents' or be attacked by 'residents'. However, adult 'immigrants' came into conflict with young 'residents' more often than with adult 'residents'. It was also observed that 'immigrants', in a greater degree than 'residents' (although no statistics confirm this), initiated more intraspecific conflicts near/nest boxes than in the enclosure. And since 'immigrants', like 'residents', occupied the nest boxes solitarily, one can suggest that it was easier for adult N. fodiens-'immigrant' males to win a nest box for themselves through taking it over from immature and physically weaker young N. fodiens-'residents'. This hypothesis may be supported by a greater (in comparison to FR=1/5) number of conflicts near/nest boxes than in the enclosure separating between N. fodiens-'immigrants' and N. anomalous.'residents' (the latter being the subordinate species).

Literature provides information about the following patterns of social relations between young shrews leaving nests and adult residents: (1) Being weaker, the young fail in competition with adults and are forced off to suboptimal sites or occupy marginal territories (e.g. N. fodiens – Voosenek and van Bemmell 1984). (2) Young and sexually immature individuals remain in central optimal sites due to the ability to dominate and then force off the adults (e.g. B. araneus – Crelin Michielsen 1966, Metajeva 1989). (3) The young remain in central optimal sites (within the home ranges of their mothers) due to the ability to avoid conflicts with adults (e.g. S. vagrans and S. obscurus – Rowes 1977).

In our tests on pairs (Exp. 3), young N. fodiens-'immigrants' were always dominated by adult 'resident'. So, this excludes the second pattern for this species, i.e. relations which were found in S. araneus placed in pairs under laboratory conditions and supported by field observations (Morajeva 1989). We have observed, both in Exp. 1 and 2, more amicable behaviour of sexually mature 'residents' towards young 'immigrants' than towards adult 'immigrants'. In the wild, this may be as a result of the young N. fodiens leaving nests, with limited risk of confrontation with adults, can remain within the reproducing adults' home ranges until a space is made available after death of older individuals in late summer or autumn, i.e. as in the third pattern. Such a suggestion is already confirmed in some observations: Cantoni (1994) recorded in the wild that N. fodiens subadult residents displayed some degree of tolerance towards subadult and juvenile intruders in autumn. According to Köhler (1986), the breaking down of the family unit and dispersion of the young in N. fodiens results from an increase in locomotive activity of the young rather than from aggression on the part of the mother. However, this is contradicted by Voosenek and van Bemmell (1984), who found that the young N. fodiens are forced off by adults to suboptimal habitats, i.e. as in the first pattern. The further studies should be carried out to clear this point.
The difference in intraspecific relations between young ‘immigrants’ and adult ‘residents’ of *S. araneus* and *N. fodiens* may result from differences in the time of their sexual maturation. It is well known (Bazan 1965, Spittnerberger 1990) that the current-year *N. fodiens* of the first generation become mature and enter reproduction in the year of birth. In Central Europe, as a rule, *S. araneus* become sexually mature only after overwintering (Pucek 1960). Therefore, dispersing young *S. araneus* are able to compete effectively with older animals many of which (especially males) may already have lost their physical condition and territories. The dispersing young *N. fodiens* from late litters have to face not only older overwintered animals, but also the physically stronger and sexually mature young from earlier litters over which they are not able to dominate.

In conclusion, if the relations discovered between *N. fodiens* ‘residents’ and ‘immigrants’ appear in wild populations, migrations of adult *N. fodiens* at high densities should be very difficult because of frequent and fierce intraspecific conflicts.

*N. anomalus*

By contrast with *N. fodiens*, *N. anomalus* ‘residents’ behaved in a very tolerant manner in regard to conspecific ‘immigrants’. A slightly raised level of agonistic interactions was observed in *N. anomalus* ‘immigrant’ towards ‘resident’ only on the first day after introduction. That was connected with the ‘immigrants’ attempts to join common hiding places. The highest number of conflicts was observed between adult ‘immigrants’ and ‘residents’. ‘Residents’ did not oppose young ‘immigrants’. These results, along with earlier estimation of a high tolerance, between *N. anomalus* strangers (Michalak 1982), and between members of socially stable groups, as well as their group utilisation of hiding places, a lack of territorial behaviour, and non-fixed group composition (Krushinska and Pucek 1989, Krushinska and Rychlík 1993) indicate that, in the wild, *N. anomalus* can be a nonterritorial andgregarious species.

In certain respect, social relations in *N. anomalus* are close to those in *Cryptotis parva* (Conaway 1958), *Cricetulus russula* (Vogel 1965) and *C. suaveolens* (Shipanov et al. 1987) in captivity. In *C. rassula*, adult couples placed in cages simultaneously manifested signs of antagonism mostly during the first 30 minutes and then always lived in one nest together, even for 3 months (Vogel 1969). Observations of *C. suaveolens* revealed gathering in one hiding place, weakened conflicts at feeding traps and the lack of stable group relations. The process of joining a group of residents by an immigrant in *C. suaveolens* (under experimental conditions, Shipanov et al. 1987), as in *N. anomalus*, proceeded without serious conflicts. One may then assume that, in the wild, dispersing young could settle without hindrance in areas previously inhabited by a group of residents of no fixed structure. Therefore, in wild populations *N. anomalus* most probably can migrate freely without any risk of being exposed to aggression from their conspecifics and free exchange of group members is possible.
However, none territorial defence against alien conspecifics was also found in non-solitary shrews. In Cryptotis parva (e.g. Davis and Joers 1945, Conway 1958) and several Crocidura species (e.g. Shchipanov 1986, Shipanov et al. 1987, Cantoni and Vogel 1989, Shchipanov and Oleinichenko 1992a, b), which are gregarious and non-territorial during the non-breeding season, the level of intraspecific aggression intensifies, and the animals form couples that defend their territories, during the breeding season. Therefore, with no data on seasonal differences in the spatial structure of a population, it is difficult to predict what the exact intraspecific social relations are between N. anomalus-"immigrants" and -"resident" in the wild.

**Interspecific relations between 'immigrants' and 'residents'**

The interspecific relations between 'immigrants' and 'residents' were characterized by the highest degree of antagonism. N. anomalus-"immigrants" put into an enclosure inhabited by N. fodiens were persecuted during the first hours after introduction in a clearly oriented manner.

Removal of the partitioning which separated one-species N. fodiens and N. anomalus groups, described in previous studies (Krushinskaya and Rychlik 1992), may be treated as a simulation of parallel dispersal or migration by several individuals of the same species to a territory occupied by the other species. Also in this case, on the first day after the removal of the partitioning the number of N. fodiens attacks on N. anomalus was very high. This confirms observations conducted on N. anomalus individuals introduced one by one, viz. that at least initially they are exposed to frequent attacks by N. fodiens.

The highest degree of N. fodiens-'resident' aggressiveness towards N. anomalus-'immigrants' introduced to the enclosure one by one was not displayed by adult N. fodiens males (indicating the highest level of intraspecific antagonism) but, first of all, by females (especially adult), i.e. those N. fodiens-'residents' which did not show increased aggression towards conspecific 'immigrants'. Therefore, it may be that individual differences in expressing antagonism by N. fodiens towards N. anomalus individuals depend on a linear hierarchy in the experimental mixed groups where N. anomalus occupy a lower rank, and to a higher degree are subject to attacks on the part of N. fodiens females (less aggressive than adult N. fodiens males). This confirms the previously observed domination of N. fodiens over N. anomalus in two-species groups (Krushinskaya and Pucek 1989, Krushinskaya and Rychlik 1992).

N. anomalus-"immigrants" also more often (in comparison to N. anomalus-"residents") initiated conflicts with N. fodiens-'residents' during the first hours after introduction. However, the majority of these conflicts were defensive vocal signals emitted from hiding places.

With time the number of conflicts between N. anomalus-"immigrants" and N. fodiens-'residents' rapidly decreased. This suggests that effective ethologica
mechanisms exist, diminishing the amount of interspecific conflicts shortly after the representatives of these two species meet (that is, immediately after one day). In the interactions observed by us in the enclosure, the following factors could contribute to the decline in the number of interspecific conflicts: (1) a decrease of exploratory activity by ‘immigrants’, (2) mutual habituation of N. fodiens-‘residents’ and N. anomalus-‘immigrants’ to the presence of the other species, (3) gaining the ability to leave nest boxes asynchronously and having different periods of activity and resting peaks for the two species, (4) maintaining a distance during simultaneous activity of individuals of both species, (5) emission of acoustic signals by active animals, (6) scent marking in close proximity to occupied nest boxes, (7) aggregation of all the N. anomalus in one nest box. The last strategy of N. anomalus-‘immigrants’ joining N. anomalus-‘residents’ in common hiding places could both decrease the probability of finding N. anomalus by N. fodiens (Krushinska and Rybak 1993) and discourage N. fodiens-‘residents’ from attacking all the N. anomalus at the same time. We have observed evidence of all the above mentioned mechanisms during our experiments, and some of them will be the subject of further investigations.

When kept in one cage, two N. fodiens dominated three S. araneus (Köhler 1985). However, in Köhler’s study the level of interspecific antagonism was far lower than that of the intraspecific one, and the author’s conclusion is that with sufficient food resources and space, the two species may be reared together. Baxter and Meester (1982) describe that very intraspecifically aggressive and solitary C. flavipes displayed mutual avoidance (i.e. a low number of conflicts) with Crocidura hirta and Myoxus varius when introduced to their cages. Antagonism of quite large Sorex palustris towards small S. rufus (Churchfield 1990), and S. palustris towards Peromyscus maniculatus (Sorensen 1962) usually did not lead to direct conflicts, and was limited to the first few hours after the introduction. Nevertheless, a high degree of interspecific antagonism between residents and immigrants under confined experimental conditions is known for other shrew species. Introduced S. araneus were at once severely attacked by N. fodiens residing solitarily in cages (Crowcroft 1955), and S. minutus immigrants were subject to fierce attacks by dominant S. araneus in the first hours after they were placed together in one cage (Crowcroft 1957, Bunn 1966, Churchfield 1990).

Blarina brevicauda killed and ate Sorex fumeus (Hamilton 1941).

In the wild, mechanisms of interspecific competition and niche separation in shrews are related to spatial/habitat, trophic, temporal, numerical, morphological (e.g. body size), and behavioural relationships of potential competitors (see review in Kirkland 1991, Fox and Kirkland 1992). As to the behavioural mechanisms, both the direct contacts and agonistic behaviour, as well as strategies to avoid interspecific conflicts, are involved in competitive interactions. For example, it has been proved that direct aggression (pouncing and combats) plays an important role in setting and maintaining the territories of shrews in summer-autumn, as well as in the segregation of ecological niches between S. araneus and S. minutus.
The aggressive ness of sympatric shrew species is often observed in captivity (as in our experiments) should not be treated merely as a consequence of stressful experimental conditions, but also as a manifestation of their natural interactions. However, it seems that mutual avoidance of individuals plays a more important part in reducing of interspecific competition. Usually, representatives of subordinate species quickly leave the vicinity of direct encounters with individuals of dominant species, avoiding any further confrontation (Hawkes 1977, Churchill 1990, Dickman 1991). Therefore, we believe that also in N. fodiens and N. anomalous, behavioural mechanisms of avoidance of direct encounters (as acoustic and odour communication, temporal differentiation of activity peaks, intra- and interspecific territoriality), together with vertical segregation and differences in microhabitat preferences, plays more important part in diminishing the amount of interspecific conflicts in the wild. These mechanisms enable independent simultaneous colonization of the same areas and coexistence of both water shrew species, as well as migrations of N. anomalous across areas occupied by N. fodiens.
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